arXiv:1609.07962v1 [math.CA] 26 Sep 2016

A_p WEIGHTS AND QUANTITATIVE ESTIMATES IN THE SCHRÖDINGER SETTING

JI LI, ROBERT RAHM, AND BRETT D. WICK

ABSTRACT. Suppose $L = -\Delta + V$ is a Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a potential V belonging to certain reverse Hölder class RH_σ with $\sigma \geq n/2$. The aim of this paper is to study the A_p weights associated to L, denoted by A_p^L, which is a larger class than the classical Muckenhoupt A_p weights. We first establish the "exp–log" link between A_p^L and BMO_L (the BMO space associated with L), which is the first extension of the classical result to a setting beyond the Laplace operator. Second, we prove the quantitative A_p^L bound for the maximal function and the maximal heat semigroup associated to L. Then we further provide the quantitative $A_{p,q}^L$ bound for the fractional integral operator associated to L. We point out that all these quantitative bounds are known before in terms of the classical $A_{p,q}$ constant. However, since $A_{p,q} \subset A_{p,q}^L$, the $A_{p,q}^L$ constants are smaller than $A_{p,q}$ constant. Hence, our results here provide a better quantitative constant for maximal functions and fractional integral operators associated to L.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

The theory of Muckenhoupt A_p weights plays an important role in harmonic analysis and partial differential equations. For example, it is well–known A_p weights can be characterized equivalently via the boundedness of Hardy–Littlewood maximal functions and the Hilbert transform, the Riesz transforms in higher dimension. Moreover, A_p weights also connect to the BMO space via the exponential and logarithm mapping, i.e., if w is an A_p weight, then $\log w$ is in BMO, conversely, if $\log w \in$ BMO then there is a $\gamma > 0$ and $p > 1$ such that $w^\gamma \in A_p$.

In recent years, the sharp A_p bound for Calderón–Zygmund operators has been obtained. The cases of the Hilbert and Riesz transforms were shown by Petermichl [31, 32], the case of Haar shifts was proven by Lacey, Petermichl and Reguera [26], for dyadic paraproducts by Beznosova [3], for the Bergman projection on the upper half plane by Pott–Reguera [34] and for general Calderón–Zygmund operators by Hytönen [23].

Besides the A_p class, in [30] Muckenhoupt and Wheeden also introduced the fractional weight class $A_{p,q}^\alpha$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as follows: a non-negative locally integrable function w is in $A_{p,q}^\alpha$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary:42B20,42B25 Secondary: 47F05.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger Operator, Weighted Inequalities, Fractional Integral Operator.

B.D. Wick's research is supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS # 1560955. J. Li's research supported in part by ARC DP 160100153 and a Macquarie University New Staff Grant.

if

$$[w]_{A^{\alpha}_{p,q}} := \sup_{Q \text{ a cube}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w(x)^q dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w(x)^{-p'} dx\right)^{\frac{q}{p'}} < \infty,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$. When $\alpha = 0$, then the class $A^{\alpha}_{p,q}$ becomes the classical A_p weight.

They showed that

$$\|I_\alpha : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q)\| < \infty$$

if and only if $[w]_{A^{\alpha}_{p,q}} < \infty$, where I_α is the standard fractional integral operators defined as

$$I_\alpha f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)\,|x-y|^{\alpha-n}\,dy.$$

Later, a sharp version of this theorem was given by Lacey, Moen, Pérez, and Torres [25] as follows.

Theorem A ([25]). *Let $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and let w be in $A^{\alpha}_{p,q}$. There holds*

$$\|I_\alpha : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q)\| \lesssim [w]_{A^{\alpha}_{p,q}}^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})\max\{1,\frac{p'}{q}\}}$$

and this result is sharp in the sense that there is a family of weights $\{w_\delta\}_{\delta\in\mathcal{A}}$ such that

$$\|I_\alpha : L^p(w_\delta^p) \to L^q(w_\delta^q)\| \simeq [w_\delta]_{A^{\alpha}_{p,q}}^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})\max\{1,\frac{p'}{q}\}}.$$

They also showed the sharp weighted bound for the fractional maximal operator (we remark that here and throughout the paper, for a measurable set E we write $E(x)$ to mean the indicator function, i.e. $E(x) = \mathbb{1}_E(x)$)

$$M_\alpha f(x) := \sup_{Q \text{ a cube}} \frac{Q(x)}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}\int_Q |f(y)|\,dy.$$

Theorem B ([25]). *Let $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and let w be in $A^{\alpha}_{p,q}$. There holds*

$$\|M_\alpha : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q)\| \lesssim [w]_{A^{\alpha}_{p,q}}^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})\frac{p'}{q}}.$$

It is well-known that the A_p weights, Hilbert (Riesz) transforms, $A^{\alpha}_{p,q}$ classes, the fractional integral operators, and the corresponding quantitative estimates mentioned above are associated with the standard Laplacian Δ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Changing the differential operator from the standard Laplacian Δ to other second order differential operators L introduces new challenges and directions to explore, see for example some of the well-known results in the past 15 years [7, 13–15, 20–22, 24].

A natural question arises when changing the standard Laplacian Δ to another second order differential operator L: can we have new A_p weights and $A^{\alpha}_{p,q}$ classes adapted to L such that the related maximal functions, singular integrals and fractional integral operators have the right quantitative estimates in terms of the new A_p or $A^{\alpha}_{p,q}$?

In this paper, we focus on the Schrödinger operator $L = -\Delta + V$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, where the non-negative function V is in the reverse Hölder class. As we will see, there are many differences between the weighted theory adapted to L and the classical weighted theory, pointing to the importance of this line of investigation.

In this Schrödinger setting, a new class of A_p weights associated to L was introduced in [4], see also [39], which is a larger class, properly containing the classical Muckenhoupt A_p weights. To be more precise, given $p > 1$ we define $A_p^\infty = \cup_{\theta \geq 0} A_p^\theta$, where A_p^θ is the set of weights w such that:

$$[w]_{A_p^\theta} := \sup_{Q \text{ a cube}} \left(\frac{1}{\psi_\theta(Q)|Q|} \int_Q w(y)dy \right) \left(\frac{1}{\psi_\theta(Q)|Q|} \int_Q w(y)^{-\frac{p'}{p}} dy \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} < \infty,$$

where for each $\theta > 0$, ψ_θ on the collection of cubes $\{Q\}$ (with sides parallel to the coordinate axes) is defined by

$$\psi_\theta(Q) := \left(1 + \frac{\ell(Q)}{\rho(Q)}\right)^\theta,$$

with $\rho(Q) := \rho(c_Q)$, c_Q is the center of Q and $\ell(Q)$ is the side-length of Q. Here ρ is the critical function associated to the potential function V; we refer to Section 2 for precise definitions.

We also have the fractional weight class $A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta}$ associated to L defined as follows. Let $p > 1$ and let q be defined by $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$. We define $A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta}$ as the class of weights w such that:

$$[w]_{A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta}} := \sup_{Q \text{ a cube}} \left(\frac{1}{\psi_\theta(Q)\,|Q|} \int_Q w^q(x)dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{\psi_\theta(Q)\,|Q|} \int_Q w^{-p'}(x)dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p'}} < \infty.$$

In [4] and [39], they showed that this new weight class A_p^∞ satisfies most of the properties parallel to the classical Muckenhoupt A_p weights, and they also established the weighted boundedness of M^θ, the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function adapted to L (we refer to Section 2 for the definition), and the Riesz transforms $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ in terms of A_p^∞, and the fractional integral operators $L^{-\alpha/2}$ in terms of $A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta}$.

We also note that the BMO space associated to L was introduced in [5], denoted by BMO_∞ (for precise definition, we refer to Section 3). They also studied the boundedness of commutators of functions in BMO_∞ and the singular integrals adapted to L.

In this paper, we aim to study the following results regarding the weights A_p^∞ and $A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta}$:

(1) the exp-log connection of A_p^∞ and BMO_∞;
(2) the quantitative estimates for the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function associated to L in terms of A_p^∞;
(3) the quantitative estimates for the fractional integral operator associated to L, denoted by $L^{-\alpha/2}$, in terms of $A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta}$.

To be more specific, the first main result of this paper establishes the exp-log connection of A_p^∞ and BMO_∞, which was missing in this setting before.

Theorem 1.1. *Let w be a weight. Then*
(i) if $w \in A_p^\infty$, we have $\log w \in \mathrm{BMO}_\infty$;
(ii) if $\log w \in \mathrm{BMO}_\infty$, then there exists some constant $\eta > 0$ such that $w^\eta \in A_p^\infty$.

The second main result of this paper are quantitative estimates for several versions of maximal functions associated to L. Here we mainly consider the Hardy–Littlewood type maximal function, the fractional maximal function, and the maximal function associated to the heat semigroup generated by L. For $\theta > 0$, and $0 \le \alpha < n$, the fractional maximal function $M^{\theta,\alpha}$ associated to L is defined as:

$$M^{\theta,\alpha} f(x) := \sup_Q \frac{Q(x)}{(\psi_\theta(Q)\,|Q|)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_Q |f(y)|\,dy.$$

In particular, when $\alpha = 0$, we denote $M^\theta f(x) := M^{\theta,0} f(x)$, which is the Hardy–Littlewood type maximal function associated to L. We also recall the heat maximal function M^L associated to L:

$$M^L f(x) := \sup_{t \ge 0} |e^{-tL} f(x)|.$$

Then we have the following quantitative estimates for the Hardy–Littlewood type maximal function associated to L and the maximal heat semigroup.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $\theta > 0$. Then we have that*

(1) $w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ M^\theta f(x) > \lambda\}) \le [w]_{A_p^\theta} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{L^p(w)}}{\lambda} \right)^p$ *for all $\lambda > 0$ and for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 < p < \infty$;*
(2) *There is a C_θ so that*

$$\left\| M^L : L^p(w) \to L^p(w) \right\| \le C_\theta \left\| M^\theta : L^p(w) \to L^p(w) \right\|.$$

As a consequence, we see that M^L possesses the same quantitative estimate M^θ does.

Moreover, we also have the following results regarding the fractional maximal function $M^{\theta,\alpha}$ associated L.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $0 \le \alpha < n$, $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Let $\gamma = \theta/(1 + \frac{p'}{q})$, then*

$$\left\| M^{\theta,\alpha} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q) \right\| \le C [w]_{A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\frac{\gamma}{3}}}^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}.$$

The third main result of this paper is a quantitative estimate of the fractional integral operator $L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose $0 \leq \alpha < n$. Let $1 < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$ and q be defined by the equation $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and let K be defined by the equation $\left(\frac{1}{K} + \frac{1}{K}\frac{q}{p'}\right)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{n}) \max\{1, \frac{p'}{q}\} = \frac{1}{2}$. For $w \in A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta/3K}$ there holds*

$$\left\| L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q) \right\| \lesssim [w]_{A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta/3K}}^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})\max\{1,\frac{p'}{q}\}},$$

where the implied constant depends on p, q, α, n, and θ.

Here we point out that the maximal operator associated to the heat semigroup M^L and the Hardy–Littlewood type maximal function M^θ satisfy the quantitative estimate as in Theorem B for $\alpha = 0$, and that the fractional maximal function $M^{\theta,\alpha}$ satisfies the quantitative estimate as in Theorem B. Moreover, the fractional integral operator $L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x)$ satisfies the quantitative estimate as in Theorem A.

However, we remark that the class of weights A_p^∞ (resp. $A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta}$) is associated to L, and can be *much* larger than the standard A_p (resp. $A_{p,q}^{\alpha}$) classes. A typical example is as follows, which is a direct consequence from Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $L := -\Delta + |x|^2$ be the Hermite operator. Then for all $1 < p$, there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $w(x) = e^{\eta|x|^2}$ is in A_p^∞; similarly, there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $e^{-\eta|x|^2} \in A_p^\infty$.*

We state a brief proof. First, note that x_j^2 is in BMO_∞ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ (see [2] for example). Therefore, $|x|^2$ is in BMO_∞. By Theorem 1.1, this implies that there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $e^{\eta|x|^2} \in A_p^\infty$. Similarly, there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $e^{-\eta|x|^2} \in A_p^\infty$.

This is interesting because the weights $e^{-\eta|x|^2}$ and $e^{\eta|x|^2}$ are *very* different from a typical A_p weight. Indeed, A_p weights are – roughly – those weights that neither decay nor grow quickly. The weights $e^{-\eta|x|^2}$ and $e^{\eta|x|^2}$ of course decay and grow very quickly. This is an indication that the weighted theory adapted to L is much different than the classical weighted theory.

We remark that it might be more precise to decorate the various operators, weight classes and other objects we define in this paper with the letter "L", but to avoid cumbersome notation, we do not do this. From the context at hand it should be clear.

The outline and structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some fundamental facts for Schrödinger operators with non-negative potential V.

In Section 3, we first recall the definition of BMO spaces associated to L and the related properties such as John–Nirenberg inequalities. And we will prove our first main result, Theorem 1.1. The main technique here are Jensen inequalities and the John–Nirenberg inequalities adapted to the present setting. Overall, the proof is similar to the classical case.

In Section 4, we will develop some of the weighted theory associated to the classes A_p^θ and $A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta}$. We will discuss the operators M^θ and $M^{\theta,\alpha}$ in more detail prove Theorems 1.2

and 1.3. A key feature in this section is the introduction of a slightly different critical function that we denote $\widetilde{\rho}$. There is also the corresponding $\widetilde{\psi}_\theta$ function and $\widetilde{A}^{\alpha,\theta}_{p,q}$ classes. These new functions are much less sensitive to the precise location of the cube at which they are evaluated. In particular, if $Q \subset Q'$ then there holds $\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)^{-1} \leq \widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q')^{-1}$. This is an important modification as it mitigates the non–locality of the Schrödinger operator.

In Section 5 we will prove Theorem 1.4. For this section, we will show that $L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is dominated by an appropriate dyadic operator. An important step in this procedure is organizing the cubes in to sub–collections on which $\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)$ is roughly equal to 2^r for $r \in \mathbb{N}$. This further mitigates the non–locality of the Schrödinger operator as it allows us to essentially ignore the $\widetilde{\psi}_\theta$ function for most of the argument.

Finally, in Section 6 we give some concluding remarks. In particular, we prove some new two weight inequalities for $L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. We also give some potential areas of investigation.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we set some notation and recall the well-known facts and results related to Schrödinger operator $L = -\Delta + V$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 3$.

We first recall that for a subset E we will write $E(x)$ for the indicator function of E; that is $E(x) := \mathbb{1}_E(x)$. If Q is a cube, then $\ell(Q)$ will denote the side-length of Q.

2.1. **Reverse Hölder class.** We say that the function V satisfies a Reverse Hölder property of order $\sigma > n/2$ and write $V \in RH_\sigma$, if there exist a positive constant C such that for all cubes Q there holds

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q V(y)^\sigma dy\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q V(y)dy. \tag{2.1}$$

For $\sigma = \infty$, the left hand side of (2.1) is replaced by the essential supremum over B. It is well-known that elements of RH_σ are doubling measures, and that $RH_\sigma \subset RH_{\sigma'}$ whenever $\sigma' < \sigma$.

2.2. **The critical function $\rho(x)$.** Associated to V we have the critical function ρ introduced in [38], defined by

$$\rho(x) := \left(\sup\left\{r > 0 : \frac{1}{r^{n-2}}\int_{B(x,r)} V(y)dy \leq 1\right\}\right)^{-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

As an example for the harmonic oscillator with $V(x) = |x|^2$, we have $\rho(x) \sim (1+|x|)^{-1}$.

We state the following property of ρ; for the proof see [38].

Lemma 2.3. *Let ρ be the critical radius function associated with L defined in (2.2).*

(i) *There exist positive constants $k_0 \geq 1$ and $C_0 > 0$ so that*

$$\frac{\rho(x)}{C_0[\rho(x)+|x-y|]^{k_0}} \leq \rho(y) \leq C_0\rho(x)[\rho(x)+|x-y|]^{k_0/(1+k_0)},$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. *In particular, for any ball* $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, *and any* $x, y \in B$, *we have* $\rho(x) \le C_0^2\big(1+\frac{r_B}{\rho_B}\big)^2\rho(y)$.

(ii) *There exists* $C>0$ *and* $\sigma_0=\sigma_0(\sigma,n)$ *so that*

$$\frac{1}{r^{n-2}}\int_{B(x,r)} V(y)dy \le C\Big(\frac{r}{R}\Big)^{\sigma_0}\frac{1}{R^{n-2}}\int_{B(x,R)} V(y)dy$$

for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ *and* $R>r>0$.

(iii) *For any* $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, *we have*

$$\frac{1}{\rho(x)^{n-2}}\int_{B(x,\rho(x))} V(y)dy = 1.$$

(iv) *There exists* $C>0$ *so that for any* $r>\rho(x)$

$$r^2 \fint_{B(x,\rho(x))} V(y)\,dy \le C\Big(\frac{r}{\rho(x)}\Big)^{n_0-n+2}$$

where n_0 *is the doubling order of* V. *That is,* $\int_{2B} V \lesssim 2^{n_0}\int_B V$ *for any ball* B.

Remark 2.4. It follows from Lemma 2.3 (ii) and (iii) that for any ball B,

$$r_B^2 \fint_B V(y)\,dy \lesssim \begin{cases} \Big(\frac{r_B}{\rho_B}\Big)^{\sigma_0} & r_B\le\rho_B,\\ \Big(\frac{r_B}{\rho_B}\Big)^{n_0+2-n} & r_B>\rho_B.\end{cases}$$

2.3. **Heat kernel bounds for** L. We now recall the heat kernel upper bounds for the Schrödinger operator.

Denote by $p_{t,L}(x,y)$ the integral kernel of the semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ generated by $-L=\Delta-V$ and by $p_t(x,y)$ the integral kernel of the semigroup $e^{-t\Delta}$ generated by Δ. Then obviously we have

$$0\le p_{t,L}(x,y)\le p_t(x,y) := (4\pi t)^{-n/2}\exp(-|x-y|^2/4t).$$

We recall the well-known heat kernel upper bounds for the Schrödinger operator as well as properties for V and its critical radius function ρ as defined in (2.2). The following estimates on the heat kernel of L are well-known.

Proposition 2.5 ([17, 18]). *Let* $L=-\Delta+V$ *with* $V\in RH_\sigma$ *for some* $\sigma\ge n/2$. *Then for each* $N>0$ *there exists* $C_N>0$ *and* $c>0$ *such that*

$$p_{t,L}(x,y)\le C_N\frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/ct}}{t^{n/2}}\Big(1+\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)}+\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\Big)^{-N}$$

and

$$|p_{t,L}(x,y)-p_{t,L}(x',y)|\le C_N\Big(\frac{|x-x'|}{\sqrt{t}}\Big)^{\sigma_1}\frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/ct}}{t^{n/2}}\Big(1+\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)}+\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\Big)^{-N}$$

whenever $|x-x'|\le\sqrt{t}$ *and for any* $0<\sigma_1<\sigma$.

3. Weights Associated to L and Connections to BMO Space Associated to L

In this section, we recall the definition and properties of the BMO space $\mathrm{BMO}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated to L. Then we build the exp-log connection of A_p^∞ and $\mathrm{BMO}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For any $\theta \geq 0$ we can define the following $\mathrm{BMO}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space as the set of functions such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \text{ a cube}} \frac{1}{\psi_\theta(Q)\,|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - \langle f\rangle_Q|\,dy < \infty.$$

We also have the following $\mathrm{BMO}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space

$$\mathrm{BMO}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) := \cup_{\theta\geq 0}\mathrm{BMO}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We have the following John–Nirenberg inequality [2].

Lemma 3.1. *For all cubes* Q *and functions* $f \in \mathrm{BMO}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *there is a* $\theta' \geq \theta$ *and constants such that there holds:*

$$|\{x \in Q : |f(x) - \langle f\rangle_Q| > \lambda\}| \lesssim |Q|\, e^{-\frac{c\lambda}{\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\psi_{\theta'}(Q)}}.$$

Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *For all* $f \in \mathrm{BMO}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\gamma < 1/(2^n e)$ *and cubes* Q *we have:*

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q e^{\gamma\frac{|f(x)-\langle f\rangle_Q|}{\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\psi_{\theta'}(Q)}}\,dx \lesssim 1.$$

Based on the definition of $\mathrm{BMO}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the John–Nirenberg inequality as in Corollary 3.2, we provide the proof of our first main result as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $w \in A_p^\infty$. Then there exists a $\theta \geq 0$ such that $w \in A_p^\theta$. Let $\varphi = \log w$ and $\mu = \log\left(\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right) = \frac{-\varphi}{p-1}$. Then for any cube Q we have $e^{\langle\varphi\rangle_Q} e^{(p-1)\langle\mu\rangle_Q} = 1$ and so we can write the A_p^θ condition for w as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\psi_\theta(Q)^p}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q e^{\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q}dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q e^{\mu(x)-\langle\mu\rangle_Q}dx\right)^{p-1} \leq [w]_{A_p^\theta} < \infty.$$

By Jensen's inequality we have

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q e^{\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q}dx \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q e^{\mu(x)-\langle\mu\rangle_Q}dx \geq 1.$$

Thus, noting that $\psi_\theta(Q)^p = \psi_{p\theta}(Q)$, we conclude that for any $w \in A_p^\theta$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q e^{\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q}dx\right) \leq \frac{[w]_{A_p^\theta}}{\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q e^{\mu(x)-\langle\mu\rangle_Q}dx\right)^{p-1}} \leq [w]_{A_p^\theta}, \tag{3.3}$$

and similarly,

$$\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)/(p-1)}dx\right)^{p-1}\le [w]_{A_p^\theta}. \tag{3.4}$$

Now for a cube Q, let $Q_+ := \{x\in Q : \varphi-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q\ge 0\}$ and $Q_- = Q\setminus Q_+$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}&\int_Q |\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q|\,dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\left(\int_{Q_+}\big(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q\big)dx+\int_{Q_-}-\big(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q\big)dx\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

For the first term in the right-hand side of the equality above, using the trivial estimate $t\le e^t$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q_+}\big(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q\big)dx &\le \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q_+} e^{\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q}dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q} e^{\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q}dx\\ &\le [w]_{A_p^\theta},\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (3.3).

Now for the second term, we first consider the case $p-1\le 1$. Then using the trivial estimate $t\le e^t$ again we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q_-}-\big(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q\big)dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q_-} e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)}dx\\ &= \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q_-}\left[e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)/(p-1)}\right]^{p-1}dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q}\left[e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)/(p-1)}\right]^{p-1}dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)/(p-1)}dx\right)^{p-1}\\ &\le [w]_{A_p^\theta},\end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality follows from Hölder's inequality and the last inequality follows from (3.4).

We now consider the case $p-1>1$. Again we have

$$\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q_-}-\big(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q\big)dx\le\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q_-}e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)}dx.$$

Note that on Q_-, there holds $-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q) > 0$ and so $-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q) \le \frac{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)}{p-1}$. Thus there holds:

$$\begin{aligned}(3.6)\qquad &\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q_-} e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)}\,dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q_-} e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)/p-1}\,dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q} e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)/p-1}\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we note that $\psi_\theta(Q) \ge 1$ for all Q and $\theta > 0$, and that $p-1 > 1$. Thus we have

$$\psi_{p\theta}(Q)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \le \psi_{p\theta}(Q),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)} \le \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}.$$

Combing the above estimate and the inequality (3.6), we get

$$\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q_-} e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)}\,dx \le \frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\,|Q|}\int_{Q} e^{-(\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q)/p-1}\,dx \le [w]_{A_p^\theta}^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

where the last inequality follows from (3.4).

Now combining the estimates of the first and second terms on the right-hand side of (3.5), we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{\psi_{p\theta}(Q)\,|Q|}\int_{Q} |\varphi(x)-\langle\varphi\rangle_Q|\,dx \le [w]_{A_p^\theta}\max\left\{[w]_{A_p^\theta}, [w]_{A_p^\theta}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right\}.$$

Hence we obtain that $\log w \in BMO_{p\theta} \subset BMO_\infty$, which implies that (i) holds.

As for (ii), suppose $\log w \in BMO_\infty$. Then there exists a $\theta \ge 0$ such that $\log w \in BMO_\theta$. By Corollary 3.2 we conclude that then $w^\eta \in A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ for some η. Actually, we conclude that w^η is in A_p^0. We remark that we are assuming that $\log w \in BMO_\infty$ – which is a weaker assumption than $\log w \in BMO$ – and then concluding that w^η is in A_p as in the classical theorem and this might seem questionable; however, the $\psi_{\theta'}(Q)$ is felt in the η. □

4. Maximal Operators Associated to L

In this section, we define some maximal operators associated to L and we give quantitative bounds for their norms as operators acting on $L^p(w)$. The bounds will be in terms of the classes of weights defined and discussed in Section 3. As above, in the case $V \equiv 0$, all of these operators will reduce to the classical Hardy–Littlewood maximal function.

4.1. **New classes of weights associated to** L**.** To define the next two classes of weights, we will make use of the critical radius function ρ. Let Q be a cube and define the following functions:

$$\widetilde{\rho}(Q) := \sup_{x\in Q} \rho(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q) := \left(1 + \frac{\ell(Q)}{\widetilde{\rho}(Q)}\right)^\theta. \tag{4.1}$$

Using the function $\widetilde{\psi}_\theta$ introduced above, we define $\widetilde{A}_p^\infty := \cup_{\theta\geq 0}\widetilde{A}_p^\theta$, where $\widetilde{A}_p^\theta$ is the set of weights w such that:

$$[w]_{\widetilde{A}_p^\theta} := \sup_{Q \text{ a cube}} \left(\frac{1}{\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)|Q|}\int_Q w(y)dy\right)\left(\frac{1}{\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)|Q|}\int_Q \sigma(y)dy\right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} < \infty.$$

The classes A_p^∞ and A_p^θ were introduced in studied further in (for example) [2, 39]. The classes $\widetilde{A}_p^\infty$ and $\widetilde{A}_p^\theta$ are – to our knowledge – new. We need these classes because the standard classes are are very "non–local" in the sense that the functions ψ_θ depend on the precise location of Q. The functions $\widetilde{\psi}_\theta$ are not as sensitive to the precise location of Q. The classes A_p^θ and $\widetilde{A}_p^\theta$ are related by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *For all* $\theta \geq 0$ *and weights* w *there holds*

$$[w]_{\widetilde{A}_p^{3\theta}} \simeq [w]_{A_p^\theta}, \tag{4.3}$$

and $A_p^\infty = \widetilde{A}_p^\infty$.

Proof. Clearly $[w]_{A_p^\theta} \leq [w]_{\widetilde{A}_p^\theta}$ and so we only need to show (4.3). Let Q be a cube and let $x \in Q$. Using Lemma 2.3 we have $\rho(x) \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{\ell(Q)}{\rho(x)}\right)^2 \rho(c_Q)$ and so

$$\psi_\theta(Q) = \left(1 + \frac{\ell(Q)}{\rho(c_Q)}\right)^\theta \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{\ell(Q)}{\rho(x)}\left(1 + \frac{\ell(Q)}{\rho(x)}\right)^2\right)^\theta \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{\ell(Q)}{\rho(x)}\right)^{3\theta}.$$

Thus $1/\widetilde{\psi}_{3\theta}(Q) \lesssim 1/\psi_\theta(Q)$ and so (4.3) holds. □

We also have a new generalization of the $A_{p,q}$ classes of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden [30]. Using the new auxiliary function introduced in (4.1), define the $\widetilde{A}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta}$ characteristic of a weight w by:

$$[w]_{\widetilde{A}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta}} := \sup_{Q \text{ a cube}} \left(\frac{1}{\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)\,|Q|}\int_Q w^q(x)dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)\,|Q|}\int_Q w^{-p'}(x)dx\right)^{\frac{q}{p'}}.$$

Similarly, using the new auxiliary function introduced in (4.1), for $\theta > 0$, and $0 \leq \alpha < n$ we define the maximal function $\widetilde{M}^{\theta,\alpha}$ associated L:

$$\widetilde{M}^{\theta,\alpha} f(x) := \sup_Q \frac{Q(x)}{\left(\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)\,|Q|\right)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}\int_Q |f(y)|\,dy,$$

and in particular, when $\alpha = 0$, we denote

$$\widetilde{M}^{\theta} f(x) := \widetilde{M}^{\theta,0} f(x).$$

4.2. **Quantitative Bounds.** In this section, we will give quantitative bounds for the maximal operators defined above. Ideally, we would like to give quantitative bounds for M^{θ} in terms of the A_p^{θ} characteristic of the weight, and we would like to prove similar assertions for the other maximal operators defined. However, for some of the operators it seems the bounds must be given in terms of the A_p^{γ} characteristic, where $\gamma < \theta$. This is also true in the qualitative versions of these theorems in [2, 39]. However, we are able to give the desired quantitative weak bounds.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be by the following two lemmas. The first lemma provides the weak-type quantitative estimates of M^{θ} as required, the proof of which follows from the standard the Besicovitch covering lemma. As a consequence, the estimate in (1) of Theorem 1.2 will be proven.

The second lemma will establish a pointwise bound that easily implies the estimate in (2) of Theorem 1.2, the proof of which follows from the pointwise upper bound of the heat kernel.

Lemma 4.4. *For $1 < p < \infty$, there holds:*

$$w\left(\{M^{\theta} f > \lambda\}\right) \leq [w]_{A_p^{\theta}} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{L^p(w)}}{\lambda}\right)^p.$$

Proof. Let $\Omega_\lambda = \{M^{\theta} \geq \lambda\}$ and let K_λ be any compact subset of Ω_λ. For every $x \in K_\lambda$ there is a cube Q_x such that:

$$\frac{1}{\psi_\theta(Q_x)\,|Q_x|} \int_{Q_x} |f(y)|\,dy > \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

Since this set is compact, by the Besicovitch covering lemma, there is a number $M = M(n)$ such that there are M collections of sets $\mathcal{Q}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}_M$ such that each $\mathcal{Q}_j = \{Q_y : y \in K_\lambda\}$ and the sets in each $\mathcal{Q}_j$ are pairwise disjoint. Additionally, $K_\lambda \subset \cup_{j=1}^{M} \mathcal{Q}_j$. In other words, K_λ is covered by M collections of disjoint cubes. Thus it is enough to fix a $1 \leq j \leq M$ and set $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_j$ and estimate $\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} w(Q)$.

Note that for a $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ there holds:

$$w(Q) \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{w(Q)}{\psi_\theta(Q)\,|Q|\,\lambda} f(x) Q(x)\,dx.$$

Using this we have:

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} w(Q) \leq \int_Q \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{w(Q)}{\psi_\theta(Q)\,|Q|\,\lambda} f(x) \sigma(x)^{1/p'} w(x)^{1/p}\,dx$$

$$\leq \left(\int \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{w(Q)}{\psi_\theta(Q)\,|Q|\,\lambda} Q(x) \right|^{p'} \sigma(x)\,dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|_{L^p(w)}.$$

Now, the cubes $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_\lambda$ are maximal and are thus disjoint. So the sum above is equal to:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{w(Q)^{p'-1}\sigma(Q)}{\psi_\theta(Q)^{p'}\,|Q|^{p'}} w(Q) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq \frac{[w]_{A_p^\theta}^{1/p}}{\lambda} \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} w(Q) \right)^{1/p'}.$$

Thus there holds:

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} w(Q) \leq [w]_{A_p^\theta}^{1/p} \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} w(Q) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(w)}}{\lambda},$$

which is the required estimate. □

Lemma 4.5. *For $\theta \in (0, \infty)$, there exists a constant C_θ such that for any locally integrable function f, and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$, we have*

$$|e^{-tL} f(x)| \leq C_\theta M^\theta(f)(x).$$

Proof. For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-tL} f(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_t(x,y)|f(y)|dy \\ &\leq C_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/ct}}{t^{n/2}} \Big(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\Big)^{-\theta} |f(y)|dy, \end{aligned}$$

where θ is any positive constant.

We now denote by $B := B(x, \sqrt{t})$ the ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered at x with radius $\sqrt{t}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-tL} f(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_t(x,y)|f(y)|dy \\ &\leq C_\theta \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^j B \setminus 2^{j-1} B} \frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/ct}}{t^{n/2}} \Big(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\Big)^{-\theta} |f(y)|dy \\ &\quad + C_\theta \int_B \frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/ct}}{t^{n/2}} \Big(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\Big)^{-\theta} |f(y)|dy \\ &\leq C_\theta \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j\theta} e^{-c2^{2(j-1)}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{2^j B \setminus 2^{j-1} B} \Big(1 + \frac{2^j \sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)}\Big)^{-\theta} |f(y)|dy \\ &\quad + C_\theta \frac{1}{\widetilde{\psi}_N(B)|B|} \int_B |f(y)|dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_\theta \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\theta+n)} e^{-c2^{2(j-1)}} \frac{1}{\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(2^j B)|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B \setminus 2^{j-1} B} |f(y)| dy \\
&\quad + C_\theta M^\theta(f)(x) \\
&\leq C_\theta M^\theta(f)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

To prove Theorem 1.3, we first note that

$$\left\| M^{\theta,\alpha} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q) \right\| \leq \left\| \widetilde{M}^{\theta,\alpha} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q) \right\|$$

follows easily from the definitions of $M^{\theta,\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{M}^{\theta,\alpha}$. Hence, only the estimate

$$\left\| \widetilde{M}^{\theta,\alpha} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q) \right\| \lesssim [w]_{\widetilde{A}^{\alpha,\gamma}_{p,q}}^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}$$

needs to be shown. And then, Theorem 1.3 follows from the above quantitative estimates and from Proposition 4.2, which shows that $[w]_{\widetilde{A}^{\alpha,\gamma}_{p,q}} \simeq [w]_{A^{\alpha,\frac{\gamma}{3}}_{p,q}}$.

To begin with, we need the following two universal bounds for weighted maximal functions. Let μ be a weight and $0 \leq \alpha < n$. Define

$$M^\alpha_\mu f(x) := \sup_Q \frac{Q(x)}{\mu(Q)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_Q |f(y)|\, dy \qquad M_\mu f(x) := M^0_\mu f(x).$$

There holds

Lemma 4.6. *Let μ be a weight and let $0 \leq \alpha < n$ and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Then*

$$\left\| M^\alpha_\mu : L^p(\mu) \to L^q(\mu) \right\| \lesssim 1,$$

where the implied constant does not depend on μ.

When $\alpha = 0$, this is the well–known Doob maximal inequality. For $0 < \alpha < n$ see [25, Lemma 4.1]. We will use these facts to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $0 \leq \alpha < n$ and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$. There holds*

$$\left\| \widetilde{M}^{\theta,\alpha} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q) \right\| \lesssim [w]_{\widetilde{A}^{\alpha,\gamma}_{p,q}}^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}.$$

Proof. The proof of the following theorem follows the corresponding proof in [25]. Let $u = w^q$ and $\sigma = w^{-p'}$ and $r = 1 + \frac{q}{p'}$. There holds $\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n}) = \frac{r'}{q}$. For any cube Q we have

$$\frac{1}{\left(\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)\,|Q|\right)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_Q |f(y)|\, dy$$

$$= \left(\frac{\sigma(Q) u(Q)^{\frac{p'}{q}}}{\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q) |Q|^{1+\frac{p'}{q}}} \right)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \left(\frac{|Q|}{u(Q)} \right)^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})} \frac{1}{\sigma(Q)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_Q |f(y)|\, dy.$$

Let γ satisfy $\gamma \frac{p'}{q} + \gamma = \theta$ (i.e. $\gamma = \frac{\theta}{1+p'/q}$). Then this becomes

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{u(Q)}{\psi_\gamma(Q) |Q|} \right)^{\frac{p'}{q}} \left(\frac{\sigma(Q)}{\widetilde{\psi}_\gamma(Q) |Q|} \right) \right\}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \left(\frac{|Q|}{u(Q)} \right)^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})} \frac{1}{\sigma(Q)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_Q |f(y)|\, dy.$$

Estimating the first factor from above by $[w]_{\widetilde{A}^{\alpha,\theta}_{p,q}}^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}$, this is dominated by

$$[w]_{\widetilde{A}^{\alpha,\gamma}_{p,q}}^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})} \left(\frac{|Q|}{u(Q)} \right)^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})} \frac{1}{\sigma(Q)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_Q \frac{|f(y)|}{\sigma(y)} \sigma(y) dy.$$

Applying Hölder's Inequality with exponents q/r' and $(q/r')' = 1 - \frac{r'}{q}$ and noting that $1 - \frac{r'}{q} = 1 - \frac{p'}{q}(q - \frac{\alpha}{n})$, this last expression is then dominated by

$$[w]_{\widetilde{A}^{\alpha,\gamma}_{p,q}}^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})} \left(\frac{1}{u(Q)} \int_Q M_\sigma^\alpha (f\sigma^{-1})^{q/r'} dx \right)^{r'/q}.$$

Taking a supremum over all cubes centered at x we have the pointwise inequality

$$\widetilde{M}^{\theta,\alpha} f(x) \leq [w]_{\widetilde{A}^{\alpha,\gamma}_{p,q}}^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})} M_u \left\{ M_{\alpha,\sigma} (f\sigma^{-1})^{q/r'} u^{-1} \right\} (x)^{r'/q}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widetilde{M}^{\theta,\alpha} f \right\|_{L^q(w^q)} &= \left\| \widetilde{M}^{\theta,\alpha} f \right\|_{L^q(u)} \\ &\leq [w]_{\widetilde{A}^{\alpha,\gamma}_{p,q}}^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})} \left\| M_u \left\{ M_{\alpha,\sigma} (f\sigma^{-1})^{q/r'} u^{-1} \right\} \right\|_{L^{r'}(u)}^{\frac{r'}{q}} \\ &\lesssim [w]_{\widetilde{A}^{\alpha,\gamma}_{p,q}}^{\frac{p'}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})} \left\| M_{\alpha,\sigma} (f\sigma^{-1})^{q/r'} u^{-1} \right\|_{L^{r'}(u)}^{\frac{r'}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s = \frac{pr'}{q}$. Then $\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and so by Lemma 4.6 there holds

$$\left\| M_{\alpha,\sigma} (f\sigma^{-1})^{q/r'} u^{-1} \right\|_{L^{r'}(u)}^{\frac{r'}{q}} = \left\| M_{\alpha,\sigma} (f\sigma^{-1})^{q/r'} \right\|_{L^{r'}(u^{-\frac{r'}{r}})}^{\frac{r'}{q}} \lesssim \left\| (f\sigma^{-1})^{q/r'} \right\|_{L^s(u^{-\frac{r'}{r}})}^{\frac{r'}{q}}.$$

Observe that $\sigma^{-s\frac{q}{r'}} u^{-\frac{r'}{r}} = w^p$ (do this by writing σ and u in terms of w and then do some gymnastics with the Hölder exponents), and clearly $|f|^{s\frac{q}{r'}} = |f|^p$ and so this last line is equal to $\|f\|_{L^p(w^p)}$ as desired. □

5. Fractional Integral Operator

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4. We first recall some definitions. The heat semigroup associated to L is a family of operators given by $H_t f(x) := e^{-tL} f(x)$. For $0 < \alpha < n$ using the functional calculus we can write $L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ as an integral operator:

$$L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tL} f(x) t^{\alpha/2-1} dt.$$

We will prove a quantitative version of a theorem of Tang [39]. This is a version of the theorem of Lacey–Moen–Torres–Pérez adapted to our setting [25].

Theorem 5.1. *Let $1 < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$ and q be defined by the equation $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and let K be defined by the equation $\left(\frac{1}{K} + \frac{1}{K}\frac{q}{p'}\right)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{n}) \max\{1, \frac{p'}{q}\} = \frac{1}{2}$. For $w \in A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta/3K}$ there holds*

$$\left\| L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q) \right\| \lesssim [w]_{A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta/3K}}^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})\max\{1,\frac{p'}{q}\}},$$

where the implied constant depends on p, q, α, n, and θ.

Recalling Lemma 4.2, Theorem 1.4 will follow from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $1 < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$ and q be defined by the equation $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and let w and σ be weights. There holds*

$$\left\| L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q) \right\| \lesssim [w]_{\widetilde{A}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta/K}}^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})\max\{1,\frac{p'}{q}\}}.$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 5.2. To prove this lemma, we will first show that $L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ can be dominated by a certain dyadic operator. The dyadic operator will essentially be an infinite sum of dyadic versions of the classical fractional integral operator. In principle, we should be able to apply the results of [25] to each term to deduce the desired bound in Lemma 5.2. However, as we will see, there are some subtleties that must be addressed.

The operator is given by an integral operator with kernel $K(x,y)$. By [39, Lemma 3.3] we know that the kernel satisfies the following bound: for every $\phi > 0$ there C_ϕ such that

$$|K(x,y)| \leq \frac{C_\phi}{(1 + |x-y|\,(\frac{1}{\rho(x)} + \frac{1}{\rho(y)}))^\phi} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}.$$

Given this estimate it is now easy to dominate $L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ by a dyadic operator. First, fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\phi > 0$. Below, $Q_k^{(x)}$ is the cube of side–length 2^k centered at x. For non–negative f there holds

$$\left|L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x)\right| \lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{Q_{k+1}^{(x)} \setminus Q_k^{(x)}} \frac{C_\phi}{(1 + |x-y|\,(\frac{1}{\rho(x)} + \frac{1}{\rho(y)}))^\phi} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} f(y)\,dy \tag{5.3}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{Q_{k+1}^{(x)}\setminus Q_k^{(x)}} \frac{C_\phi}{(1+|x-y|\,(\frac{1}{\rho(y)}))^\phi}\frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} f(y)\,dy.$$

Now, for $y \in Q_{k+1}^{(x)} \setminus Q_k^{(x)}$, $|x-y| \simeq \ell(Q_k^{(x)})$ and $\rho(y) \leq \widetilde{\rho}(Q_k^{(x)})$ and so there holds

$$\frac{C_\phi}{(1+|x-y|\,(\frac{1}{\rho(y)}))^\phi}\frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} \leq \frac{C_\phi}{\widetilde{\psi}_\phi(Q_{k+1})}\frac{\left|Q_{k+1}^{(x)}\right|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{\left|Q_{k+1}^{(x)}\right|}.$$

Inserting this into (5.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x)\right| &\lesssim C_\phi \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{Q_{k+1}^{(x)}\setminus Q_k^{(x)}} \frac{1}{\widetilde{\psi}_\phi(Q_{k+1}^{(x)})}\frac{\left|Q_{k+1}^{(x)}\right|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{\left|Q_{k+1}^{(x)}\right|} f(y)\,dy \\ &\leq C_\phi \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\left|Q_{k+1}^{(x)}\right|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{\widetilde{\psi}_\phi(Q_{k+1}^{(x)})} \langle f\rangle_{Q_{k+1}^{(x)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now setting $\phi = \theta$ and recalling that there is a collection of $M = M(n)$ dyadic lattices such that every cube Q is contained in a cube P from one of these lattices with $\ell(P) \lesssim \ell(Q)$, we deduce that $\left|L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x)\right|$ can be dominated by a finite sum of operators of the form

$$I_{\alpha,\theta}^{\mathcal{D}} f(x) := \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} \frac{(\ell(Q))^\alpha}{\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)} \langle f\rangle_Q Q(x). \tag{5.4}$$

Lemma 5.2 will follow if for every dyadic lattice $\mathcal{D}$ we can show

$$\left\| I_{\alpha,\theta}^{\mathcal{D}} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q)\right\| \lesssim [w]_{\widetilde{A}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta/K}}^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})\max\{1,\frac{p'}{q}\}}.$$

We now divide the cubes into collections in which we hold $\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)$ constant. Thus, for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ set $\mathcal{Q}_r := \{Q \in \mathcal{D} : \widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q) \simeq 2^{r\theta}\}$. Since $\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q) > 1$, the sum in (5.4) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\alpha,\theta}^{\mathcal{D}} f(x) &= \sum_{r\geq 0} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_r} \frac{(\ell(Q))^\alpha}{\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)} \langle f\rangle_Q Q(x) \\ &\simeq \sum_{r\geq 0} 2^{-r\theta} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_r} (\ell(Q))^\alpha \langle f\rangle_Q Q(x) \\ &=: \sum_{r\geq 0} 2^{-r\theta} I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}_r} f(x).\end{aligned}$$

The operators $I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}_r}$ are very similar to the standard dyadic versions of the classical fractional integral operator. Indeed, the only difference is that in the classical case,

$\mathcal{Q}_r = \mathcal{D}$. For the cubes $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_r$

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w(x)^q dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w(x)^{-p'} dx\right)^{\frac{q}{p'}} \leq [w,\sigma]_{A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta/\kappa}} 2^{r\left(\frac{\theta}{\kappa}+\frac{\theta}{\kappa}\frac{q}{p'}\right)}.$$

The point of this computation is that on the cubes in $\mathcal{Q}_r$, the $A_{p,q}$ characteristic is finite and so we would like to apply the sharp theorem of [25] to each of the operators

The problem with this approach is that the theorem of Lacey–Moen–Pérez–Torres is for the continuous version of the fractional integral operator. Their proof uses a sharp extrapolation theorem and this can not be directly applied to an operator like $I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}_r}$. On the other hand, purely dyadic versions of this theorem, for example [29], are only valid for certain values of p and q.

We must therefore prove a version of the theorem of Lacey–Moen–Pérez–Torres for the operators $I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}_r}$. That is, we must prove the estimate

$$\left\|I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}_r} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q)\right\| \lesssim \left([w]_{A_{p,q}^{\alpha,\theta/\kappa}} 2^{r\left(\frac{\theta}{\kappa}+\frac{\theta}{\kappa}\frac{q}{p'}\right)}\right)^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})\max\{1,\frac{p'}{q}\}}. \tag{5.5}$$

Proving this estimate is the content of the next subsection. We will use a modified version of well-known extrapolation theorems. It is likely that the extrapolation theorem in the next subsection exists in the literature and we are aware of many similar theorems, but we have not been able to find an exact version of what we need. In any case, this will be well-known to experts, but we give some details; see [9, 25] for more information.

5.1. **An Extrapolation Argument.** In this section, we will prove (5.5). We will actually prove something slightly more general.

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a finite collection of dyadic cubes. We will define a class of weights in the following way. We define the $A_{p,q}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ characteristic of a weight w by

$$[w]_{A_{p,q}}^{\mathcal{Q}} := \sup_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w^q(x)dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w^{-p'}(x)dx\right)^{\frac{q}{p'}} < \infty$$

for $1 < p$ and for $p = 1$

$$[w]_{1,q}^{\mathcal{Q}} := \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w^q(x)dx\right)\left(\inf_Q w^q(x)\right) < \infty.$$

Define the following "$\mathcal{Q}$–dyadic" maximal function

$$M^{\mathcal{Q}} f(x) := \sup_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}} \frac{Q(x)}{|Q|}\int_{|f(x)|} dx$$

and the "$\mathcal{Q}$–dyadic" fractional integral operator

$$I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}} f(x) := \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}} |Q|^{\alpha/n} \langle f\rangle_Q Q(x).$$

Estimate (5.5) will follow from the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *Let* $1 < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$ *and* q *be defined by the equation* $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$. *For* $w \in A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,q}$. *There holds*

$$\left\| I^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q) \right\| \lesssim [w]^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})\max\{1,\frac{p'}{q}\}}_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,q}},$$

where the implied constant depends on p, q, α *and* n.

We remark again that, in principle, this theorem is proven in [25]. However, in this setting, we are only considering cubes $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and it is not clear that their theorem can be quoted directly. However, their proof can be modified (in some portions, the proof can be quoted directly) to the present setting, and this is what we do.

The remainder of this subsection is devoted to the proof of this theorem. We will use the same proof as in [25], modified for our setting. The outline is as follows. We first show that it suffices to prove two weak–type bounds. We then prove an extrapolation theorem for our setting. Finally, we will prove a "base estimate" from which we can extrapolate.

In [36, 37] Sawyer shows that for the fractional integral operator, strong–type estimates follow from weak–type estimates. He does this by showing in [37] that the fractional integral operator is bounded between two weighted spaces if and only if "testing" holds (that is, if and only if the norm inequality is satisfied uniformly of indicators of cubes; see [27] for a dyadic version of this theorem). But in [36] he shows that if T is a self–adjoint integral operator, then testing holds if T and it's adjoint satisfy a weak–type bound. Thus, we have the following.

Lemma 5.7. *Let* w *be a weight,* $0 < \alpha < n$, *and* $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. *Then the operator norm*

$$\left\| I^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha} : L^p(w^p) \to L^q(w^q) \right\|$$

is controlled by

$$\left\| I^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha} : L^p(w^p) \to L^{q,\infty}(w^q) \right\| + \left\| I^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha} : L^{q'}(w^{-q'}) \to L^{p',\infty}(w^{-p'}) \right\|.$$

Given Lemma 5.7, we now turn our attention to proving the following lemma.

Lemma 5.8. *Let* $1 < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$ *and* q *be defined by the equation* $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$. *For* $w \in A_{p,q}$. *There holds*

$$\left\| I^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha} : L^p(w^p) \to L^{q,\infty}(w^q) \right\| + \left\| I^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha} : L^{q'}(w^{-q'}) \to L^{p',\infty}(w^{-p'}) \right\| \lesssim [w]^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}_{A_{p,q}},$$

where the implied constant depends on p, q, α *and* n.

We first state the extrapolation theorem. It is our version of the extrapolation theorem (Theorem 2.1 in [25]).

Theorem 5.9. *Suppose that* T *is an operator defined on* C^{∞}_c. *Suppose that* $1 \leq p_0 \leq q_0 < \infty$ *and that*

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{q_0}(w^{q_0})} \lesssim [w]^{\gamma}_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{p_0,q_0}} \|f\|_{L^{p_0}(w^{p_0})}$$

for all $w \in A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{p_0,q_0}$ *and some* $\gamma > 0$. *Then*

$$\|Tf\|_{L^q(w^q)} \lesssim [w]^{\gamma \max\{1, \frac{q_0}{p_0'}\frac{p'}{q'}\}}_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,q}} \|f\|_{L^p(w^p)}$$

holds for all p, q *satisfying* $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{q_0}$ *and all* $w \in A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,q}$.

As is familiar to experts, the key to proving Theorem 5.9 is a version of the Rubio de Francia iteration algorithm. Once we have established this iteration algorithm, we can prove the extrapolation theorem. We follow the proof in [19]. Below, $A^{\mathcal{Q}}_p$ is the A_p class adapted to $\mathcal{Q}$:

$$[w]_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_p} := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w(x)\,dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p'}}.$$

Lemma 5.10. *Suppose that* $1 \le r_0 < r$, $\nu \in A^{\mathcal{Q}}_r$, *and* g *is a non–negative function in* $L^{(r/r_0)'}(\nu)$. *Then there is a function* G *such that*

(a) $g \le G$;
(b) $\|G\|_{L^{(r/r_0)'}(\nu)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^{(r/r_0)'}(\nu)}$;
(c) $G\nu \in A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{r_0}$ *with* $[G\nu]_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{r_0}} \lesssim [\nu]_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_r}$.

The implied constants are independent of $r_0, r, \mathcal{Q}, \nu, G$ *and* g.

Proof. Let $t = \frac{r'}{(r/r_0)'} = \frac{r-r_0}{r-1}$. Note that since $1 \le r_0 < r$ there holds $0 < t \le 1$. Define

$$Rg := \left(M^{\mathcal{Q}}(g^{\frac{1}{t}}\nu)\nu^{-1}\right)^t.$$

We compute the norm of R as an operator from $L^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}(\nu)$ to itself. Let $f \in L^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}(\nu)$. There holds

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Rg)(x)^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}\nu(x)\,dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(M^{\mathcal{Q}}(g^{\frac{1}{t}}\nu)\nu^{-1}\right)^{t\frac{r}{r-r_0}}(x)\nu(x)\,dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [M^{\mathcal{Q}}(g^{\frac{1}{t}}\nu)(x)]^{t\frac{r}{r-r_0}}\nu(x)^{1-t\frac{r}{r-r_0}}\,dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [M^{\mathcal{Q}}(g^{\frac{1}{t}}\nu)(x)]^{r'}\nu(x)^{-\frac{r'}{r}}\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

Now $M^{\mathcal{Q}}$ is bounded from $L^{r'}(\nu^{-\frac{r'}{r}})$ to itself with norm $[\nu^{-\frac{r'}{r}}]^{1/(r'-1)}_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{r'}}$. Thus we can continue the estimate with

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [M^{\mathcal{Q}}(g^{\frac{1}{t}}\nu)(x)]^{r'}\nu(x)^{-\frac{r'}{r}}\,dx &\le [\nu^{-\frac{r'}{r}}]^{\frac{r'}{r'-1}}_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{r'}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)^{\frac{r'}{t}}\nu(x)^{r'}\nu(x)^{-\frac{r'}{r}}\,dx \\ &= [\nu^{-\frac{r'}{r}}]^{\frac{r'}{r'-1}}_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{r'}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}\nu(x)\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

And so we have

$$\left\|R : L^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}(v) \to L^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}(v)\right\| \leq [v^{-\frac{r'}{r}}]^{t(r-1)}_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{r'}} = [v]^t_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_r}.$$

Define

$$G := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{R^k(g)}{2^k \|R\|^k},$$

where $\|R\| := \left\|R : L^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}(v) \to L^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}(v)\right\|$, and $R^0 = \mathrm{Id}$. Then $g \leq G$ and there holds

$$\|G\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}(v)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|R\|^k \|g\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}(v)}}{2^k \|R\|^k} \simeq \|g\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-r_0}}(v)}.$$

Noting that $(r/r_0)' = r/(r-r_0)$ we see that (a) and (b) are proven.

We now need to estimate $[Gv]_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{r_0}}$. First, by applying R to G we have

$$RG = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{R^{k+1}(g)}{2^k \|R\|^k} = 2\|R\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{R^{k+1}(g)}{2^{k+1} \|R\|^{k+1}} \leq 2\|R\| G.$$

Thus

$$\left(M^{\mathcal{Q}}(G^{\frac{1}{t}}v)v^{-1}\right)^t \lesssim \|R\| G \lesssim [v]^t_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_r} G.$$

Taking t^{th} roots and rearranging we see that

$$\left(M^{\mathcal{Q}}(G^{\frac{1}{t}}v)\right) \lesssim \left(G^{\frac{1}{t}}v\right)[v]_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_r}.$$

Thus for all cubes $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q G^{\frac{1}{t}}(x)v(x)dx \lesssim [v]_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_r} G^{\frac{1}{t}}v.$$

Again rearranging this implies

$$G \gtrsim [v]^{-t}_{A^{\mathcal{Q}}_r} w^{-t} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q G(x)^{\frac{1}{t}}v(x)dx\right)^t. \tag{5.11}$$

We now estimate the $A^{\mathcal{Q}}_{r_0}$ characteristic of Gv. We need to estimate

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q G(x)v(x)dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q G(x)^{-\frac{1}{r_0-1}}v(x)^{-\frac{1}{r_0-1}}dx\right)^{r_0-1}. \tag{5.12}$$

By Hölder's Inequality with exponents $(1/t)$ and $(1/t)' = 1/t-1$ it follows that the first factor is dominated by

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q G(x)^{\frac{1}{t}}v(x)dx\right)^t \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q v(x)dx\right)^{t-1}. \tag{5.13}$$

By (5.11) the second factor is controlled by

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \left\{[v]_{A_r^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q G(y)^{\frac{1}{t}}v(y)\,dy\right)\right\}^{-\frac{t}{r_0-1}} v^{\frac{t}{r_0-1}}(x)v(x)^{-\frac{1}{r_0-1}}\,dx\right)^{r_0-1}. \tag{5.14}$$

Multiplying (5.13) and (5.14) together, and using the fact that $\frac{t-1}{r_0-1} = -\frac{1}{r-1}$ we see that (5.12) is controlled by

$$[v]_{A_r^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{t}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q v(x)\,dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q v(x)^{-\frac{1}{r-1}}\,dx\right)^{r-1}\right\}^{1-t} = [v]_{A_r^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{t}[v]_{A_r^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{1-t} = [v]_{A_r^{\mathcal{Q}}}.$$

This proves (c). □

Remark 5.15. We now discuss the proof Theorem 5.9. Given the iteration algorithm Lemma 5.10, the proof of Theorem 5.9 is *exactly* the same as the proof of [25, Theorem 2.1]. We will not restate the proof, but we will explain why it is true.

It is a general principle that given an iteration algorithm like in Lemma 5.10, the extrapolation theorem will follow. The main idea in a proof of the extrapolation theorem is to factor expressions like $|g(x)|\,w(x)^q$ into pieces on which the "base case" bound can be used.

The extrapolation argument is not very sensitive to the operator. For example, we do not need to assume that the operator is linear or even sub–linear; we only need to assume that it is defined on (for example) C_c^∞, smooth functions with compact support. The fact that we only know data about w for the cubes Q might seem insufficient to deduce the claimed bounds, but we are assuming that the operator is bounded for the base case exponents, and this gives enough information to deduce the claimed bounds. □

Using Theorem 5.9 we have the following corollary. The proof is in [25, Corollary 2.2].

Corollary 5.16. *Suppose that for some* $1 \le p_0 \le q_0 < \infty$*, an operator* T *satisfies the weak–type* (p_0, q_0) *inequality:*

$$\|T : L^{p_0}(w^{p_0}) \to L^{q_0,\infty}(w^{q_0})\| \le c[w]_{A_{p_0,q_0}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{\gamma}$$

for every $w \in A_{p_0,q_0}$ *and some* $\gamma > 0$*. Then* T *also satisfies the weak–type* (p, q) *inequality*

$$\|T : L^{p}(w^{p}) \to L^{q,\infty}(w^{q})\| \le c[w]_{A_{p,q}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{\gamma\max\{1,\frac{q_0}{p_0'}\frac{p'}{q}\}}$$

for all $1 < p \le q < \infty$ *that satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{q_0}$$

and all $w \in A_{p,q}$*.*

We now prove a "base case" weak-type estimate from which we can use Corollary 5.16 to extrapolate to all exponents.

Lemma 5.17. *Let $q_0 = n/(n-\alpha) = (n/\alpha)'$. There holds*

$$\left\|I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}} f\right\|_{L^{q_0,\infty}(w^{q_0})} \lesssim [w]_{A_{1,q_0}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{1-\alpha/n} \|f\|_{L^1(w)} \tag{5.18}$$

for any weight w.

Proof. For convenience let $u = w^{q_0}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_M$ denote the maximal cubes in $\mathcal{Q}$. Recall that we assume that $\mathcal{Q}$ is finite so every cube in $\mathcal{Q}$ is contained in a unique cube in $\mathcal{Q}_M$. For every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_M$ we will prove

$$\left\|Q I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}} f\right\|_{L^{q_0,\infty}(u)} \lesssim \|Qf\|_{L^1(M^{\mathcal{Q}}u)^{1/q_0}}. \tag{5.19}$$

This will imply (5.18) by the following argument. Now, for every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_M$ the $A_{1,q_0}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ condition implies $M^{\mathcal{Q}}u(x) \le [w]_{A_{1,q_0}^{\mathcal{Q}}} u(x)$. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}} f\right\|_{L^{q_0,\infty}(u)} &\le \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_M} \left\|Q I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}} f\right\|_{L^{q_0,\infty}(u)} \\
&\le \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_M} \int_Q |f(x)|\, (M^{\mathcal{Q}}u)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}(x)\,dx \\
&\le [w]_{A_{1,q_0}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|\, u(x)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}(x)\,dx \\
&= [w]_{A_{1,q_0}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{1-\alpha/n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|\, w(x)\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, fix a cube in $P \in \mathcal{Q}_M$. Observe that there holds

$$P(x) I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}} f(x) = \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}:Q\subset P} |Q|^{\alpha/n} \langle Pf\rangle_Q Q(x).$$

Note that $I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}}$ can be written as an integral operator with kernel $K(x,y) := \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}:Q\subset P} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)}{|Q|^{1-\alpha/n}}$. Thus using Minkowski's inequality for the $L^{q,\infty}$ norm, there holds

$$\left\| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}:Q\subset P} |Q|^{\alpha/n} \langle Pf\rangle_Q Q(x) \right\|_{L^{q_0,\infty}(u)}$$

is dominated by

$$\int_P |f(y)| \left\| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}:Q\subset P} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)}{|Q|^{1-\alpha/n}} \right\|_{L^{q_0,\infty}(u)} dy. \tag{5.20}$$

Now, we compute the $L^{q_0,\infty}(u)$ norm inside the integral. Let $\lambda > 0$ and let $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda$ be the maximal cubes in $\mathcal{Q}$ with $|Q|^{1-\alpha/n} < \lambda^{-1}$. Now, for a fixed x, $\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}:Q\subset P} Q(x)\,|Q|^{\alpha/n-1}$ is

a geometric sum. Thus, if $\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}:Q\subset P} Q(x)\,|Q|^{\alpha/n-1} > \lambda$, then x is contained in a unique element of $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda$. Now, let $Q_\lambda(y)$ denote the unique element of $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda$ that contains y (if there is such an element). Note also that $\lambda < |Q|^{\alpha/n-1} = |Q|^{-\frac{1}{q}}$. Using this notation and these observations there holds

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\left(u\left\{x:\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}:Q\subset P}\frac{Q(x)Q(y)}{|Q|^{1-\alpha/n}}>\lambda\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &= \lambda u(Q_\lambda(y))^{\frac{1}{q}}\\ &\le \frac{1}{|Q_\lambda(y)|^{1-\alpha/n}}u(Q_\lambda(y))^{\frac{1}{q}}\\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|Q_\lambda(y)|}u(Q_\lambda(y))\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking a supremum over $\lambda > 0$ we deduce that

$$\left\|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}:Q\subset P}\frac{Q(x)Q(y)}{|Q|^{1-\alpha/n}}\right\|_{L^{q_0,\infty}(u)} \le (M^{\mathcal{Q}}u(y))^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Inserting this into (5.20) will give (5.19). □

We are now in a position to prove Lemma 5.8. Using extrapolation, we know that

$$\left\|I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}} : L^p(w^p)\to L^{q,\infty}(w^q)\right\| \lesssim [w]_{A_{p,q}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}$$

and

$$\left\|I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}} : L^{q'}(w^{-q'})\to L^{p',\infty}(w^{-p'})\right\| \lesssim [w^{-1}]_{A_{q',p'}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}.$$

Now, $[w^{-1}]_{A_{p',q'}^{\mathcal{Q}}} = [w]_{A_{p,q}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{\frac{p'}{q}}$ and $[w]_{A_{p,q}^{\mathcal{Q}}} > 1$ so there holds

$$\left\|I_\alpha^{\mathcal{Q}} : L^p(w^p)\to L^{q,\infty}(w^q)\right\| \lesssim [w]_{A_{p,q}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} + [w^{-1}]_{A_{q',p'}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \lesssim [w]_{A_{p,q}^{\mathcal{Q}}}^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})\max\{1,\frac{p'}{q}\}}.$$

Thus the proof of Lemma of 5.8 is complete and so we have proved Theorem 1.4.

6. Conclusion

We briefly mention some two weight inequalities for the fractional integral operator $L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. Recall that $L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is dominated by a finite sum of operators of the form

$$I_{\alpha,\theta}^{\mathcal{D}} := \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}}\frac{(\ell(Q))^\alpha}{\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)}\langle f\rangle_Q Q(x).$$

And by setting $\mathcal{Q}_r := \{Q \in \mathcal{D} : \widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q) \simeq 2^{r\theta}\}$ we can further decompose $I^{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha,\theta}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha,\theta} f(x) &= \sum_{r\geq 0} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_r} \frac{(\ell(Q))^\alpha}{\widetilde{\psi}_\theta(Q)} \langle f\rangle_Q Q(x) \\ &\simeq \sum_{r\geq 0} 2^{-r\theta} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_r} (\ell(Q))^\alpha \langle f\rangle_Q Q(x) \\ &=: \sum_{r\geq 0} 2^{-r\theta} I^{\mathcal{Q}_r}_\alpha f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to establish a two weight bound, it will be enough to give a two weight bound for the operators $I^{\mathcal{Q}_r}_\alpha$. We also note that if ν is a weight and $\sigma := \nu^{-\frac{p'}{p}}$ then there holds

$$\|T : L^p(\nu) \to L^q(w)\| = \|T(\sigma\cdot) : L^p(\sigma) \to L^q(w)\|.$$

The following was proven by one of us and Scott Spencer [35]. Below, for a weight w we define

$$\rho_w(Q) := \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q (M(wQ))(x)dx.$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ and σ, w be two weights. Let ϵ_p be a monotonic function on $(1,\infty)$ that satisfies $\int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t\epsilon_p^p}(t) = 1$ and similarly for $\epsilon_{q'}$. Define*

$$\beta(Q) := \frac{\sigma(Q)^{\frac{1}{p'}} w(Q)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \rho_\sigma(Q)^{\frac{1}{p}} \epsilon_p(\rho_\sigma(Q)) \rho_w(Q)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \epsilon_{q'}(\rho_w(Q))$$

and set $[\sigma, w]_{p,q,\alpha,r} := \sup_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_r} \beta(Q)$. Then $\left\|I^{\mathcal{Q}_r}_\alpha(\sigma\cdot) : L^p(\sigma) \to L^q(w)\right\| \lesssim [\sigma, w]_{p,q,\alpha,r}$.

Now, define

$$[\sigma, w]^{(\theta)}_{p,q,\alpha} := \sup_{Q \text{ a cube}} \frac{\sigma(Q)^{\frac{1}{p'}} w(Q)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\psi_{\theta/2}(Q)\,|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \rho_\sigma(Q)^{\frac{1}{p}} \epsilon_p(\rho_\sigma(Q)) \rho_w(Q)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \epsilon_{q'}(\rho_w(Q)).$$

The conclusion in Lemma 6.1 can be stated as

$$\left\|I^{\mathcal{Q}_r}_\alpha(\sigma\cdot) : L^p(\sigma) \to L^q(w)\right\| \lesssim 2^{r\theta/2} [\sigma, w]^{(\theta)}_{p,q,\alpha}.$$

Thus using Lemma 6.1 and the decomposition of $I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$ we have the following theorem

Theorem 6.2. *With definitions as above, there holds*

$$\left\|L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\sigma\cdot) : L^p(\sigma) \to L^q(w)\right\| \lesssim [\sigma, w]^{(\theta)}_{p,q,\alpha}.$$

See other results in [8, 10, 35] to deduce similar two weight results in the present setting.

The condition $[\sigma, w]^{(\theta)}_{p,q,\alpha}$ may seem to be complicated beyond the point of usability. Conditions like this are known as "bump" conditions. These bump conditions were introduced in [40] and studied more in [28, 35] and are typically smaller than other bump

conditions such as Orlicz norms (this was shown by Treil and Volberg in [40]). For more information about two weight inequalities for the fractional integral operator, see [8, 10].

Theorem 6.2 has a deficiency. The quantity $\rho_w(Q)$ is related to the A_∞ characteristic of a weight. In particular, $[w]_{A_\infty} := \sup_Q \rho_w(Q)$. This is an important characteristic in the classical weighted theory. However, it is too large to capture enough information for weights in our classes. It will be interesting to develop an A_∞ theory adapted to the operator $-\Delta + V$.

References

[1] Pascal Auscher, *On necessary and sufficient conditions for L^p-estimates of Riesz transforms associated to elliptic operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and related estimates*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **186** (2007), no. 871, xviii+75 pp.

[2] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure, and O. Salinas, *Classes of weights related to Schrödinger operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **373** (2011), no. 2, 563–579.

[3] Oleksandra V. Beznosova, *Linear bound for the dyadic paraproduct on weighted Lebesgue space $L_2(w)$*, J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), no. 4, 994–1007.

[4] Bongioanni, Harboure, and Salinas, *Classes of weights related to Schrödinger operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **373** (2011), no. 2, 563–579.

[5] ———, *Weighted inequalities for commutators of schrödinger-Riesz transforms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **392** (2012), no. 1, 6–22.

[6] Stephen M. Buckley, *Estimates for operator norms on weighted spaces and reverse Jensen inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **340** (1993), no. 1, 253–272.

[7] Thierry Coulhon and Xuan Thinh Duong, *Riesz transforms for $1 \le p \le 2$*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 3, 1151–1169.

[8] David Cruz-Uribe, *Two weight norm inequalities for fractional integral operators and commutators* (2015), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.4157.

[9] David V. Cruz-Uribe, José Maria Martell, and Carlos Pérez, *Weights, extrapolation and the theory of Rubio de Francia*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 215, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.

[10] David Cruz-Uribe and Kabe Moen, *One and two weight norm inequalities for Riesz potentials*, Illinois J. Math. **57** (2013), no. 1, 295–323.

[11] Oliver Dragicević, Loukas Grafakos, María Cristina Pereyra, and Stefanie Petermichl, *Extrapolation and sharp norm estimates for classical operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Publ. Mat. **49** (2005), no. 1.

[12] Javier Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 29, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. Translated and revised from the 1995 Spanish original by David Cruz-Uribe.

[13] Xuan Thinh Duong and Alan McIntosh, *Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **15** (1999), no. 2, 233–265.

[14] Xuan Thinh Duong and Lixin Yan, *New function spaces of BMO type, the John-Nirenberg inequality, interpolation, and applications*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **58** (2005), no. 10, 1375–1420.

[15] ———, *Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (2005), no. 4, 943–973.

[16] Dziubański and Zienkiewicz, *Hardy space H^1 associated to Schrödinger operator with potential satisfying reverse Hölder inequality*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **15** (1999), no. 2, 279–296.

[17] ———, H^p *spaces for Schrödinger operators, Fourier analysis and related topics (Bedlewo, 2000)*, Banach Center Publ., Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw **56** (2002), 45–53.
[18] ———, H^p *spaces associated with Schrödinger operators with potentials from reverse Hölder classes*, Colloq. Math. **98** (2003), no. 1, 5–38.
[19] Loukas Grafakos, *Classical and modern Fourier analysis*, Pearson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2004.
[20] Steve Hofmann and José María Martell, A^∞ *estimates via extrapolation of Carleson measures and applications to divergence form elliptic operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 1, 65–101.
[21] Steve Hofmann and Svitlana Mayboroda, *Hardy and BMO spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators*, Math. Ann. **344** (2009), no. 1, 37–116.
[22] Steve Hofmann, Svitlana Mayboroda, and Alan McIntosh, *Second order elliptic operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients in* L^p*, Sobolev and Hardy spaces*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. **44** (2011), no. 5, 723–800.
[23] Tuomas P. Hytönen, *The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators*, Ann. of Math. (2) **175** (2012), no. 3, 1473–1506.
[24] N. J. Kalton and I. E. Verbitsky, *Nonlinear equations and weighted norm inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 9, 3441–3497.
[25] Michael T. Lacey, Kabe Moen, Carlos Pérez, and Rodolfo H. Torres, *Sharp weighted bounds for fractional integral operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), no. 5, 1073–1097.
[26] Michael T. Lacey, Stefanie Petermichl, and Maria Carmen Reguera, *Sharp* A_2 *inequality for Haar shift operators*, Math. Ann. **348** (2010), no. 1, 127–141.
[27] Michael T. Lacey, Eric T. Sawyer, and Ignacio Uriarte-Tuero, *Two-weight Inequalities for Discrete Positive Operators* (2009), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.3437.
[28] Michael T. Lacey and Scott Spencer, *On entropy bumps for Calderón-Zygmund operators*, Concr. Oper. **2** (2015), 47–52.
[29] Kabe Moen, *Sharp weighted bounds without testing or extrapolation*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **99** (2012), no. 5, 457–466.
[30] Benjamin Muckenhoupt and Richard L. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for singular and fractional integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **161** (1971), 249–258.
[31] S. Petermichl, *The sharp bound for the Hilbert transform on weighted Lebesgue spaces in terms of the classical* A_p *characteristic*, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), no. 5, 1355–1375.
[32] Stefanie Petermichl, *The sharp weighted bound for the Riesz transforms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 4, 1237–1249.
[33] Stefanie Petermichl and Alexander Volberg, *Heating of the Ahlfors-Beurling operator: weakly quasiregular maps on the plane are quasiregular*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), no. 2, 281–305.
[34] Sandra Pott and Maria Carmen Reguera, *Sharp Békollé estimates for the Bergman projection*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), no. 12, 3233–3244.
[35] Robert Rahm and Scott Spencer, *Entropy bump conditions for fractional maximal and integral operators*, Concr. Oper. **3** (2016), 112–121.
[36] Eric Sawyer, *A two weight weak type inequality for fractional integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **281** (1984), no. 1, 339–345.
[37] Eric T. Sawyer, *A characterization of two weight norm inequalities for fractional and Poisson integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **308** (1988), no. 2, 533–545.
[38] Zhongwei Shen, L^p *estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **45** (1995), 513–546.
[39] Lin Tang, *Weighted norm inequalities for Schrödinger type operators*, Forum Math. **27** (2015), no. 4, 2491–2532.

[40] Sergei Treil and Alexander Volberg, *Entropy conditions in two weight inequalities for singular integral operators*, Adv. Math. **301** (2016), 499–548.

J. Li, Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW, 2019, Australia
E-mail address: ji.li@mq.edu.au

R. Rahm, Department of Mathematics, Washington University - St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63130-4899 USA
E-mail address: rahm@math.wustl.edu

B. D. Wick, Department of Mathematics, Washington University - St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63130-4899 USA
E-mail address: wick@math.wustl.edu